IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT - BILASPUR C.G.

WRIT PETITION No.373 /2006

Single Bench

PETITIONER : SMT. NEERA SAHUI

AGED – 26 YEARS

WIFE OF SHRI RATHRAM SAHU

POSTED AS – SHIKSHA KARMI VARG - III

AT – GOVT. PRIMARY SCHOOL, DONDRO

BLOCK & DISTT. – KORBA (C.G.)

R/O Q. NO. 963 / 5 – A, BALCO NAGAR,

KORBA, DISTRICT – KORBA (C. G.)

P.R. No. 380/06
Presented by Shri Suresh Tandon
dated 20/1/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

   THROUGH – THE SECRETARY,

   DEPARTMENT OF SCHEDULE TRIBE &

   SHEDULE CASTE , MANTRALAYA

   D. K. S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR

2. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,

   ZILA PANCHAYAT, KORBA

   DISTRICT – KORBA (C. G.)

3. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,

   JANPAD PANCHAYAT, KORBA,

   DISTRICT – KORBA (C. G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
20/1/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE – 226 & 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, SUITABLE DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS ETC.

20/1/06

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 373/06 सन् 200

17

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	24-1-2006 Shri Ravish Verma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri S.P. Kale, Govt. Advocate for the State of Chhattisgarh. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was transferred vide Annexure-P/2. He further submits that in compliance of the said transfer order the petitioner has joined at her transferred place. Copy of joining report is Annexure-P/3. He also submits that vide order dated 9-12-2005 (Annexure-P/1) the transfer order (Annexure-P/2) has been cancelled. It is pointed out that before filing of this petition the petitioner has not submitted any representation before authorities. Learned counsel for the State submits that for the present the petitioner may be directed to make a representation before the concerned competent authorities and if such representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising her grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a period of two weeks from today, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved. He prays that the petitioner may be allowed to continue. In view of the above submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, it is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved, she may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.(W.).P.No.302/2006 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	
Gowri		

[पीछे देखिये